UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Yancy Martinez ^{Defendant}	Case No. 1:12-cr-00132-RHB
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I –	Findings of Fact
(1)		d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in: .*
	a felony committed after the defendant had but U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim the possession or use of a firear a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)		while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presperson or the community. I further find that defend	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe ant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternat	ive Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions

will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- √ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by

clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant is associated with the Holland Latin Kings.
- 2. Defendant is unemployed.
- 3. Defendant has a history of substance abuse.
- 4. The Latin Kings are organized and structured and have member groups in other states.
- 5. Defendant has the ability to leave the state and the Latin Kings have the funds to assist him in becoming a fugitive.
- 6. The charge defendant faces has penalties which give him an incentive to become a fugitive.
- 7. The Superseding Indictment charges defendant with an incident of very violent behavior.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 15, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	